

①

5/1/04 ②

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR,
CHHATTISGARH

WRIT PETITION NO. 4761/2004**PETITIONER**


P.R. No. 4347/04
Presented by Shri. 397002
dated 08/11/04

Madan Lal Sahu, S/o Late
Shri Shayam Lal Sahu, Aged
about 48 years, Presently
working as Naib Tehasildar,
Tehsil Nagri, Distt. Dhamtari,
R/o Bagdehi para, Nawa para
Rajim, District Raipur, C.G.

VERSUS**RESPONDENTS**

1. State of Chhattisgarh
Through the Secretary,
Department of Revenue,
Mantralaya, D.K.S. Bhawan,
Raipur (C.G.)
2. The Secretary
Government of Chhattisgarh,
Department of General
Administration, Mantralaya,
D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)
3. State of Madhya Pradesh
Through the Secretary,
Department of General
Administration, Vallabh Bhawan,
Bhopal, (M.P.)
4. Union of India,
Through the Secretary,
Department of Personnel &
Training, 3rd Floor, Lok Nayak
Bhawan, Khan Market,
New Delhi
5. The Collector,
District Dhamtari,
Dhamtari, C.G.

RECEIVED COPY
8.11.04
Clerk to A. G. Bhawan



2

12

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF A WRIT IN
THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS, PROHIBITION,
CERTIORARI AND ANY OTHER WRITS, DIRECTIONS OR
ORDERS.

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P. 4761/ सन् 2004

28

..... विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	12-4-2005 On a mention being made, the case is taken up for hearing. Mr. Yashwant Sahu, Advocate appears on behalf of Mr. Satyendra Sahu, counsel for the petitioner. Mr. Akhil Agrawal, Panel Lawyer for the State/respondents No.1, 2 and 5. Heard both the counsel. The petitioner, who is working as Naib Tehsildar, has preferred this writ petition being aggrieved by the action of the respondents that he has not been promoted whereas, his juniors have been promoted. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner may be allowed to make a representation to respondent No.2 to which Mr. Akhil Agrawal, learned Panel Lawyer for the State of Chhattisgarh has no objection. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation to respondent No.2 within two weeks from today raising all his grievances, and in turn, respondent No.2 is directed to consider the representation made by the petitioner and decide the same within a period of three months from the date of the receipt of the representation in an objective manner after considering the grievances of the petitioner, in accordance with law. With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M.(W.)P.No.5042/2004 and I.A.No.9474/2004 also stand disposed of. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order.	

Sd/-
L.C. Bhadoo
Judge

Sema
19/4/05
20/4/05